CALMET/CALPUFF Modeling Technical Report

for the

Pinedale Anticline Oil and Gas Exploration and Development Project

Sublette County, Wyoming



Prepared By:

Bureau of Land Management Pinedale Field Office Pinedale, Wyoming

In Cooperation With:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers U.S. Forest Service State of Wyoming

November, 1999

This CALMET/CALPUFF Modeling Technical Report was prepared by ENVIRON International Corporation, with the guidance, participation, and independent evaluation of the Bureau of Land Management and cooperating agencies. The Bureau of Land Management and the cooperating agencies, in accordance with 40 CFR 1506.5(a) and (b), are in agreement with the findings of the analysis and approve and take responsibility for the scope and content of this document.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			rage
1.	INTRODUC	TION	1-1
2.	CALMET M	IODELING	2-1
3.	EMISSION	INVENTORY DEVELOPMENT	3-1
4.	CALPUFF N	MODELING	4-1
	Near-Source	CALPUFF Modeling	4-3
		LPUFF Modeling	
5.	MODELING	F RESULTS	5-1
	Fmission Sce	enarios	5 1
		riteria and PSD Pollutant Ambient Concentrations	
		teria and PSD Pollutant Ambient Concentrations	
		sibility Impacts	
		ion Impacts	
		mination of Any Potential Adverse Effects Due to the Project	
		95 Cumulative Emissions	5-6
		Naughton NOx Emissions Reductions	
	11100011112 01	The state of the s	
RI	EFERENCES		R-1
		APPENDICES	
Αŗ	pendix A: N	ear-Source Air Quality Impacts	
_	_	nnual Average Emissions	
	M	aximum CALPUFF - Estimated Concentrations for 1995	
	Pi	nedale Anticline Project Contribution	
	Co	ontribution Due to Sources Since June 30, 1995 (post-95)	
	Cı	imulative Contribution (Project + post-95)	
	To	otal Concentration (Project + post-95 + background)	
Ap	ppendix B: No	ear-Source Air Quality Impacts	
		aximum Hourly Emissions	
		aximum CALPUFF - Estimated Concentrations for 1995	
		nedale Anticline Project Contribution	
		ontribution Due to Sources Since June 30, 1995 (post-95)	
		imulative Contribution (Project + post-95)	
	To	otal Concentration (Project + post-95 + background)	

Appendix C: Far-Field Air Quality Impacts

Annual Average Emissions

Maximum CALPUFF - Estimated Concentrations for 1995

Pinedale Anticline Project Contribution

Contribution Due to Sources Since June 30, 1995 (post-95)

Cumulative Contribution (Project + post-95)

Total Concentration (Project + post-95 + background)

Appendix D: Far-Field Visibility Impacts

Annual Average Emissions

Number of Days Deciview (DV) > 0.5 and 1.0 of Background

Pinedale Anticline Project Contribution

Contribution Due to Sources Since June 30, 1995 (post-95)

Cumulative Contribution (Project + post-95)

Appendix E: Far-Field Visibility Impacts

Annual Average Emissions

Number of Days Extinction (bext) > 5 and 10% of Background

Pinedale Anticline Project Contribution

Contribution Due to Sources Since June 30, 1995 (post-95)

Cumulative Contribution (Project + post-95)

Appendix F: Pinedale Anticline Project Acid Deposition Impacts

Cumulative Acid Deposition Impacts (Project + Post-95)

700 and 500 Wells

Project-Wide (PW) and Anticline Crest (AC)

Three Compressor Locations (C1, C2, and C3)

Three Compressor NOx Emission Rates (0.7, 1.0, and 1.5 g/hp-hr)

Appendix G: Details for the Sensitive-Area-Days in Which the

Cumulative (Project + post-95) Visibility Impact is greater than 0.5 Δdv

For Each of the 36 Project Alternatives

Appendix H: Far-Field Visibility Impacts Annual Average

Emissions with Naughton 2008 TPY NOx Reduction

Pinedale Anticline Project Contribution

Contribution Due to Sources Since 6/30/95 (post-95)

Cumulative Contribution (Project + post-95)

Appendix I: Maximum Naughton Concentration and Visibility Impacts

Naughton = Naughton at Current Emissions

LNBT = Naughton with 2000 TPY NOx Reductions from Unit 3

Far-Field Concentration Impacts

Far-Field Visibility Impacts

TABLES

Table 2-1.	Default CALMET land use categories and associated
	geophysical parameters based on the U.S. Geological Survey
	Land Use Classification System (14-category system).
	(Source: Scire et al, 1998)2-3
Table 2-2.	Percent of valid data values at each site and for each
	meteorological parameter for January through December 19952-12
Table 4-1a.	PSD Increment Standards - Class I Areas4-3
Table 4-1b.	PSD Increment Standards - Class II Areas4-4
Table 4-2.	Regional Baseline Ambient Concentrations (µg/m³)4-4
Table 4-3.	Wyoming Ambient Air Quality Standards4-5
Table 4-4a.	IWAQM-recommended current visibility conditions
	(mean of the best 20% from 1987-1997) In the Bridger
	Wilderness Area based on IMPROVE monitoring data
	(Source: FLAG, 12/10/98)4-5
Table 4-4b.	Visibility Background used in the Pinedale Anticline Project EIS
	(mean of the best 20% from 1987-June 30, 1995) in the Bridger
•	Wilderness Area based on IMPROVE monitoring data
	(Source: NFS/Copeland, 1999)4-15
Table 4-5.	Bridger Wilderness Area Monitored Lake Acid Neutralizing
	Capacity (ANC) in μ eq/14-18
Table 5-1a.	Maximum near-source CALPUFF-estimated air quality concentrations
	due to the Pinedale Anticline Project alone, all expected additional
	sources since 1995 alone (post-95), cumulative impacts (Project+post-95),
	and total concentration including background for the 700 Project-Wide
	operating wells using compressor location C1 with a NOx emissions
	rate of 1.5 g/hp-hr emissions scenario using annual emissions5-12
Table 5-1b.	Maximum near-source CALPUFF-estimated air quality concentrations
	due to the Pinedale Anticline Project alone, all expected additional
	sources since 1995 alone (post-95), cumulative impacts (Project+post-95),
	and total concentration including background for the 700 Anticline Crest
	operating wells using compressor location C1 with a NOx emissions
	rate of 1.5 g/hp-hr emissions scenario using annual emissions5-12
Table 5-2a.	Maximum near-source CALPUFF-estimated air quality concentrations
	due to the Pinedale Anticline Project alone, all expected additional
	sources since 1995 alone (post-95), cumulative impacts (Project+post-95),
	and total concentration including background for the 700 Project-Wide
	operating wells using compressor location C1 with a NOx emissions
	rate of 1.5 g/hp-hr emissions scenario using maximum hourly
	emissions 5-13

Table 5-2b.	Maximum near-source CALPUFF-estimated air quality concentrations
	due to the Pinedale Anticline Project alone, all expected additional
	sources since 1995 alone (post-95), cumulative impacts (Project+post-95),
	and total concentration including background for the 700 Anticline Crest
	operating wells using compressor location C1 with a NOx emissions
	rate of 1.5 g/hp-hr emissions scenario using maximum hourly
	emissions5-13
Table 5-3a.	Maximum far-field CALPUFF-estimated air quality concentrations
	due to the Pinedale Anticline Project alone, all expected additional
	sources since 1995 alone (post-95), cumulative impacts (Project+post-95),
	and total concentration including background for the 700 Project-Wide
	operating wells using compressor location C1 with a NOx emissions
	rate of 1.5 g/hp-hr emissions scenario using annual average
Table 5-3b.	Maximum far-field CALPUFF-estimated air quality concentrations due
	to the Pinedale Anticline Project alone, all expected additional
	sources since 1995 alone (post-95), cumulative impacts (Project+post-95),
	and total concentration including background for the 700 Anticline Crest
	operating wells using compressor location C1 with a NOx emissions
. •	rate of 1.5 g/hp-hr emissions scenario using annual average
Table 5-4.	CALPUFF-estimated visibility impacts at the Bridger Wilderness Area
14010 0	due to the potential Pinedale Anticline Project alternatives, new
	sources since June 30, 1995 (Post-95 Sources), and cumulative
	impacts (Project+Post-95 Sources) using a visibility
	background based on the mean of the 20 percent cleanest days from
	the Bridger IMPROVE reconstructed mass data
Table 5-5.	Details on the sensitive areas-days with cumulative visibility increment
14010 5 5.	Greater than 0.5 Δ dv for several Project alternatives (results for all
	alternatives are contained in Appendix G)
Table 5-6.	Summary of precipitation during days in which the cumulative
1 able 5-0.	(Project + post-95) visibility increment is greater than $0.5 \Delta dv$ at
Table 5 7	a sensitive receptor area for any Project Alternative
Table 5-7.	Comparisons of the Pinedale Anticline Project, Post-95, and
	cumulative (Project+post-95) visibility impacts at the sensitive
	receptor areas with (a) and without (b) the concurrent benefits of the
	Naughton LNBT NOx emission reductions 700 wells Project-wide
	with compressor location C1 operating at 0.7 gm/hp-hr NOx emissions
m.1	(PW-700-C1-0.7)5-22
Table 5-8.	Comparisons of the Pinedale Anticline Project, Post-95, and cumulative
	(Project+post-95) visibility impacts at the sensitive receptor areas with
	(a) and without (b) the concurrent benefits of the Naughton LNBT NOx
	emission reductions 700 wells Project-Wide with compressor
	location C1 operating at 1.0 gm/hp-hr NOx emissions
	(PW-700-C1-1.0)5-23

Table 5-9.	Comparisons of the Pinedale Anticline Project, Post-95, and cumulative (Project+post-95) visibility impacts at the sensitive receptor areas with (a) and without (b) the concurrent benefits of the Naughton LNBT NOx emission reductions 700 wells Project-Wide with compressor location C1 operating at 1.5 gm/hp-hr NOx emissions (PW-700-C1-1.5)
Table 5-10.	CALPUFF-estimated visibility impacts at the sensitive receptor areas for the current Naughton Generating Station Units 1, 2, and 3 SOx, PM, and NOx emissions and the Naughton Generating Station emissions with the LNBT NOx controls on Unit 3 (visibility background based on the mean of the 20 percent cleanest days from the Bridger IMPROVE reconstructed mass data)
	FIGURES
Figure 2-1.	Terrain heights used in the Pinedale Anticline Project EIS CALMET Modeling2-2
Figure 2-2.	Spatial distribution of the land-use categories used in the Pinedale Anticline Project EIS CALMET modeling
Figure 2-3.	Locations of surface meteorological sites used in the Pinedale Anticline Project EIS CALMET modeling
Figure 2-4.	Location of the COOP precipitation measurement sites used in the Pinedale Anticline Project EIS CALMET modeling2-11
Figure 3-1.	Locations of the Project and post-95 point and area sources
Figure 4-1.	used in the Pinedale Anticline Project EIS CALPUFF modeling
Figure 4-2.	Near-source receptor grid at 4-km x 4-km resolution except around potential compressor station locations where
Figure 4-3.	a 1-km x 1-km receptor grid is used
Figure 5-1a.	Number of sensitive area-days the 0.5 Δ dv and 1.0 Δ dv LAC thresholds
	are exceeded combining the Naughton benefit and cumulative (Project + post-95) increment impacts for the PW-700-C1-0.7, PW-700-C1-1.0, and PW-700-C1-1.5 Project Alternatives
Figure 5-1b.	Number of sensitive area-days the 0.5 Δ dv and 1.0 Δ dv LAC thresholds are exceeded combining the Naughton benefit and cumulative (Project + post-95) increment impacts for the PW-500-C1-0.7, PW-500-C1-1.0, and PW-500-C1-1.5 Project Alternatives

Figure 5-2.	Number of days the 0.5 Δ dv and 1.0 Δ dv LAC thresholds are exceeded at reach sensitive receptor area due to the Naughton benefit,	
	cumulative (Project + post-95) impact, and combined for the PW-700-C1-0.7 Project Alternative	5-28
Figure 5-3.	Number of days the 0.5 Δdv and 1.0 Δdv LAC thresholds are	
	exceeded at reach sensitive receptor area due to the Naughton benefit, cumulative (Project + post-95) impact, and combined for the	
	PW-700-C1-1.0 Project Alternative	5-30
Figure 5-4.	Number of days the 0.5 Δ dv and 1.0 Δ dv LAC thresholds are	
	exceeded at reach sensitive receptor area due to the Naughton benefit, cumulative (Project + post-95) impact, and combined for the	
	PW-700-C1-1.5 Project Alternative	5-32
	EXHIBITS	
Exhibit 2-1. Exhibit 4-1.		2-20